

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,556	09/24/1999	Gertrud Hotten	100564-09021	3191
4372	7590 04/07/2003			
	K KINTNER PLOTKI	EXAMINER		
SUITE 400	CTICUT AVENUE, N.	MERTZ, PREMA MARIA		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	1,
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003	1/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/901,556

Applicant(s)

.

Hotten et al.

Examiner

Prema Mertz

Art Unit 1646



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply					
THE N - Extensi	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) M he application to become	MONTHS fr no ABANDO	from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 25, 20	002		·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ✓ This action	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-		
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 20-31			is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s)					
	Claim(s)					
	Claims <u>20-31</u>					
	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted	or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held	d in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: /	a)□ ε	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office acti	ion.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) 🗀	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	in App	olication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).			
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
T5)∟ Attachme		priority unuer 5.	5 0.3.	3. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
_	enris) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	ımary (PT(0-413) Paper No(s)		
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			nt Application (PTO-152)		
3) [] Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:		•		

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Group I. Claims 20-23, 30, are drawn to an antibody to a protein comprising an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:3, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.9.
- Group 2. Claims 24-27, 31, are drawn to an antibody to a protein comprising an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:4, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.9.
- Group 3. Claim 28, is drawn to a method for detecting a protein comprising an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:3 using an antibody to the protein, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- Group 4. Claim 29, is drawn to a method for detecting a protein comprising an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:4 using an antibody to the protein, classified in Class 424, subclass 7.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function and each has an independent utility, that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged. The antibody of invention I can be used to obtain the polynucleotide encoding the protein of SEQ ID NO:3 and can also be used in diagnostics, e.g. as a probe in immunoassays but it cannot be used to obtain the polynucleotide encoding the protein of SEQ ID NO:4 and vice versa.

Art Unit: 1646

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in immunochromatography.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in immunochromatography.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP. § 806.04, MPEP. § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP. § 806.04, MPEP. § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Art Unit: 1646

Inventions III-IV are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different products for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps and goals.

Having shown that these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their recognized divergent subject matter as defined by MPEP... § 808.02, the Examiner has *prima facie* shown a serious burden of search (see MPEP... § 803). Therefore, an initial requirement of restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prema Mertz whose telephone number is (703) 308-4229. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00AM to 3:30PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564.

Art Unit: 1646

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 305-3014 or (703 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 746-5300.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Prema Mertz Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1646 March 28, 2003